

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

BERGEN ROCKLAND ERUV  
ASSOCIATION, YISROEL FRIEDMAN,  
S. MOSHE PINKASOVITS, SARAH  
BERGER, MOSES BERGER, CHAIM  
BREUER, YOSEF ROSEN, and TZVI  
SCHONFELD,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

THE BOROUGH OF UPPER SADDLE  
RIVER,

Defendant.

Civil No.: 2:17-CV-05512-JMV-CLW

**STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME TO  
ANSWER, MOVE OR OTHERWISE REPLY  
TO THE AMENDED COMPLAINT AND  
SETTING FORTH A BRIEFING SCHEDULE  
FOR ANTICIPATED MOTION PRACTICE**

**IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED** that the above-captioned Parties have agreed on the following briefing and filing schedule, superseding all previous stipulations:

1. Defendant Borough of Upper Saddle River's time to Move, Answer or Otherwise Reply to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is extended up through and including November 2, 2017.
2. Plaintiffs have indicated their intent to file a Motion for a Preliminary Injunction on or about October 11, 2017. Defendant has indicated its intent to file a Motion to Dismiss in lieu of an Answer on or about November 2, 2017. It is the Parties' intention to create a schedule as set forth below that would allow for efficient briefing as to both sets of motions, in order to reduce duplication and enhance judicial economy, returnable December 4, 2017:
  - (a) Defendant shall file its Motion to Dismiss with Briefing and its Opposition Brief to Plaintiff's Motion for a Preliminary Injunction no later than November 2, 2017.

(b) Plaintiffs shall file their Opposition Brief to the Motion to Dismiss and their Reply Brief to the Preliminary Injunction Opposition no later than November 20, 2017

(c) Defendant shall file its Reply Brief to Plaintiffs' Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss no later than November 28, 2017.

3. With approval of the Court, in their briefs to be filed November 2 and then November 20, 2017 the Parties may file a single brief to address all issues in both motions, including those likely to overlap, although these briefs would be subject to the page limitations as if two separate briefs had been filed. To the extent Plaintiffs file a single brief, they do so without waiving, and instead expressly preserving, any right to bring an interlocutory appeal of any denial of Plaintiff's Motion for a Preliminary Injunction pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1).

**MCCUSKER, ANSEMI, ROSEN &  
CARVELLI P.C.**

/s/ Bruce S. Rosen  
By: Bruce S. Rosen, Esq.  
210 Park Avenue, Suite 301  
Florham Park, NJ 07932  
Telephone: 973-635-6300  
Facsimile: 973-635-6363  
*Attorneys for Defendants*

Dated: October 10, 2017

**WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP**

/s/ Diane P. Sullivan  
By: Diane P. Sullivan, Esq.  
WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
17 Hulfish Street, Suite 201  
Princeton, NJ 08542  
Telephone: 609-986-1120  
Facsimile: 609-986-1199

Robert G. Sugarman (*pro hac vice*)  
Yehudah Buchweitz (*pro hac vice*)  
David Yolcut (*pro hac vice*)  
Jessie Mishkin (*pro hac vice*)  
WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
767 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10153  
(212) 310-8000  
robert.sugarman@weil.com

yehudah.buchweitz@weil.com  
david.yolkut@weil.com  
jessie.mishkin@weil.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

Dated: October 10, 2017

SO ORDERED

---